

Effective Space Quantization in Friedman-Robertson-Walker Models

E.A. Tagirov

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

February 7, 2008

Abstract

Quantum-mechanical operators corresponding to canonical momentum and position of a point-like particle, which follow from the quantum field theory in the general Riemannian space-time, satisfy generally to a deformation of the canonical commutation relations with $\hbar^{-2}$ as the parameter of deformation. For operators of the quasi-Cartesian coordinates in the closed and open Friedman-Robertson-Walker models, the deformation reproduces the spatial part of the well-known Snyder formula for quantization of the Minkowsky space-time. The spatially-flat models are distinguished apart by that the deformation is reduced exactly to the standard canonical commutation relations, which correlates remarkably with the fact of the observed flatness of the Universe. Conditions are briefly discussed for which the deformation could have cosmological manifestations. Key words: quantum mechanics, cosmology, quantized space.

In paper [1], quantum mechanics in general Riemannian space-time was formulated as quasi-non-relativistic asymptotic of the one-particle sector of the quantum scalar field theory of a Fock representation. In papers [2, 3], a construction was studied in an opposite direction which is more traditional, namely, quantization of geodesic motion. In the present note, a particular but very curious consequence of the former, field-theoretical approach is presented for the cosmological Friedman-Robertson-Walker space-times; they will be denoted further as $F^{(k)}$, where $k = 1, 0, -1$ for the closed, spatially-flat and open models, respectively.

Consider quantum theory (QFT) of the massive linear scalar field $\varphi(x)$, $x \in F^{(k)}$ taking initially metric of $F^{(k)}$ in a more general form:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= c^2 dt^2 - b^2(t) d\tilde{s}^2, \\ d\tilde{s}^2 &\stackrel{def}{=} \tilde{\omega}_{ij}^{(k)}(q) dq^i dq^j, \quad i, j, \dots = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

The spatial coordinates q^i and metric tensor $\tilde{\omega}_{ij}^{(k)}$ are taken dimensionless, that is all lengths are measured in units of the scale factor $b(t)$ (that is, in the case of a closed

model, the radius of the Universe). An explicit form of $\tilde{\omega}_{ij}^{(k)}$ in particular coordinates is given below. The Fock space Φ is defined through representation of the field operator $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ as an expansion by appropriate solutions of the field equation, which are asymptotic in c^{-2} . The solutions, in turn, are defined by Cauchy data in a fixed moment t_0 of time and in the case of a time-dependent external field (the metric, in our case), the Fock representation depends non-trivially on the moment t_0 . The field-theoretical creation of particles by another moment t'_0 is determined by the Bogoliubov transformation of the initial data from the moment t_0 to t'_0 . It is equivalent asymptotically to solution of the following Schrödinger equation:

$$(1) \quad i\hbar \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log b(t) \right) \psi(t, q) = \hat{H}(t, q) \psi(t, q),$$

$$(2) \quad \hat{H}(t, q) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} b^{-2}(t) \left(\tilde{\Delta} - \zeta \tilde{R} + \hat{O}(c^{-2}) \right),$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}$ and $\tilde{R}$ are the Laplace–Beltrami operator and the scalar curvature, respectively, for the metric $\tilde{\omega}_{ij}(q)$, the parameter ζ determines a relation between $\varphi(x)$ and the scalar curvature of $V_{1,3}$ in the initial scalar field equation; relativistic operator corrections denoted here and further as $\hat{O}(c^{-2})$ should be calculated from recurrent relations.

The conserved Klein-Gordon sesquilinear form for $\varphi(x)$ induces the standard inner product in the space Ψ_{t_0} of initial data $\psi(q) \equiv \psi(t_0, q)$:

$$(3) \quad (\psi_1, \psi_2) = \int_{t=t_0} \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_2 b^3(t_0) \sqrt{\tilde{\omega}} d^3 q, \quad \psi(q) \in \Psi_{t_0},$$

and thus $\Psi_{t_0} \sim L^2(t_0 = \text{const}; \mathbb{C}; \sqrt{\tilde{\omega}} d^3 x)$. It might seem that thus functions $\psi(q)$ may be interpreted as amplitudes of a probability density to observe a real particle in a small neighborhood of the point q of the configuration space $t = t_0$. However, one should keep in view that variables q^i have to belong to spectra of commuting operators, see, e.g., [4] Chapter 13, [2], Section 6. In the canonical quantization of the classical mechanics of a particle, the commutativity of the primary position observables is plainly postulated. On contrary, in the field-theoretical approach indicated above, the operators of coordinates and conjugate momenta are defined naturally in the framework of QFT [1] and induce differential operators $\hat{q}^i$ and $\hat{p}_i$ which act on Ψ_{t_0} and are asymptotically Hermitean with respect the inner product (3).

It is interesting that, in general, commutators between $\hat{q}^i$, $\hat{p}_j$ are not the canonical ones but form a *deformation* of the latter:

$$(4) \quad [\hat{q}^i, \hat{q}^j] = \hat{O}(c^{-4}), \quad [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = \hat{O}(c^{-2}),$$

$$(5) \quad [\hat{q}^i, \hat{p}_j] = i\hbar \delta_j^i + \hat{O}(c^{-2}),$$

Of course, in the Minkowski space-time, the deformation vanishes if Cartesian coordinates are taken as q^i (this is a trivial particularity of the result for $F^{(0)}$ presented below). It is easy to perceive here an analogy with the well-known fact that the Poincare algebra is a deformation of the Galilei one.

The quantum–mechanical Hamilton operator $\hat{H}(t, q)$ is shown in [1] to be asymptotically unitary equivalent to the energy operator induced in space Ψ_{t_0} by the operator of the energy–momentum tensor of the field $\tilde{\varphi}$ acting in the Fock space Φ . Therefore, the scheme presented supplies the known method of diagonalization of Hamiltonian, see, e.g., [5], by a representation of the space of states of the field excitations as a set of spatially localizable states.

A particular form of relations (4), (5) depends on which coordinates q^i are chosen as *observables of the space position* of the particle. In quantum mechanics, any information on a quantum system contains always a mixture of information on a classical measuring system and the share of the mixture depends on choice of the latter system [7]. Considering systems of coordinates as formalizations of devices for measurement of position of the quantum particle, one may expect (and it would be important to prove) that, among them, the most amount of information on the quantum dynamics of the particle is provided by *the normal Riemannian (quasi-Cartesian) coordinates* X^i in which the metric of $F^{(k)}$ reads (superscripts are lowered by the Kronecker symbol δ_{ij}):

$$(6) \quad \tilde{ds}^2 = dX^i dX_i + \frac{(X_i dX^i)^2}{1 - kX_i X^i},$$

where $k = 1, 0, -1$ for the closed, spatially flat and open models respectively. The quasi-Cartesian coordinates are singled out by that their coordinate lines are geodesic ones with respect to the metric $\tilde{ds}^2$ and therefore determined only by the geometry of the space in the simplest way. For other systems, coordinate lines have, at least, one non-zero proper curvature which is equivalent to presence of the field of an external force which deviates the line from the geodesic one.

For $q^i \equiv X^i$, the general formulae from [1] give the following expressions for commutators (4), (5) in the first non-vanishing orders:

$$(7) \quad [\hat{X}^i, \hat{X}^j] = kl_0^2 \hat{L}^{ij} + \hat{O}(l_0^3),$$

$$(8) \quad [\hat{P}_i, \hat{P}_j] = k \left(\frac{1}{2} - \zeta \right) l_0 \frac{1 + kX_l X^l}{1 - kX_l X^l} \hat{L}_{ij} + \hat{O}(l_0^2),$$

$$(9) \quad [\hat{P}_i, \hat{X}^j] = -i\hbar \left(\delta_i^j + k \left(\frac{1}{2} - \zeta \right) l_0 \frac{\delta_i^j + kX_i X^j}{1 - kX_l X^l} + \hat{O}(l_0^2) \right),$$

where $\hat{L}^{ij} \stackrel{def}{=} X^i \partial / \partial X_j - X^j \partial / \partial X_i$ are generators of quasi-regular representation of $\mathfrak{o}(3)$ -algebra (the operators of angular momentum divided by $i\hbar$),

$$(10) \quad l_0 = \frac{\lambda_C^2}{b^2(t_0)},$$

and $\lambda_C \stackrel{def}{=} \hbar/mc$ is the Compton wave-length.

Relation (7) is just the spatial part of the basic formula of Snyder's theory of the quantized space-time [6], the elementary length of which is taken here to be dimensionless

(i.e., it is measured as well as X^i in units of the cosmological scale factor $b(t_0)$); obviously, l_0 depends on the mass m and is negligible except the case when

$$(11) \quad \lambda_C \gtrsim b(t_0).$$

If the quasi-Cartesian coordinates are marked off by some other units of length, both sides of Eq. (7) should be multiplied by $b^2(t_0)$ and then the elementary length (10) reads

$$(12) \quad l'_0 = \frac{\lambda_C}{b(t_0)} \lambda_C.$$

It should be noted, however, that, in the present approach, operators of momentum $\hat{p}_i$, in general, do not commute, contrary to the Snyder's theory and thus a resemblance with the latter do not goes beyond the formula (7).

A necessary condition to satisfy asymptotic relation (7) is the motion to be quasi-non-relativistic:

$$(13) \quad E_{\text{kin}} < mc^2, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad l'^2_0 \langle \tilde{\Delta} \rangle \lesssim 1.$$

The subsequent orders in c^{-2} will give new restrictions containing derivatives of $b(t)$. For instance, the condition for contribution of the order $O(c^{-6})$ to the commutator (7) were not greater than the one of the order $O(c^{-4})$ looks as follows (calculations in a more detail will be published elsewhere):

$$(14) \quad 8 \frac{\dot{b}}{c} l_0 \lesssim 1.$$

More generally, first terms of $\hat{O}(c^{-6})$ in (7) show that in the arbitrary order the commutator of the quasi-Cartesian coordinate has the following structure:

$$(15) \quad [\hat{X}^i, \hat{X}^j] =$$

$$(16) \quad = k \hat{\mathcal{L}}^2(k; b(t_0), \dot{b}(t_0), \ddot{b}(t_0), \dots; \tilde{\Delta}; X^l \partial_l) \cdot \hat{L}^{ij},$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^2$ is an Hermitean operator which is a polynomial by k , $\tilde{\Delta}$ and $X^i \partial_i$; obviously, it commutes with $\hat{L}^{ij}$.

For $\dot{b}(t) = 0$, the asymptotic series for any $\hat{q}^i$ can be summed to formal closed expressions [1], and, in this case, restriction (13) is removed and restrictions of the type (14) do not arise at all. Note also the presence of the pole $X^i X_i = 1$ in formulae (8) and (9) in the case of $k = 1$. It is caused by existence of a focal point for geodesic lines issued from a point on a sphere and reflects a topological non-triviality of the space in this case.

The main conclusion from (16) consists in that, for $k = 0$, that is for the spatially flat model, the opertors $\hat{X}^i$ commute in any order by c^{-2} , i.e. exactly. Though, owing to dependence of the metric on t , the operators arise initially from QFT as asymptotical sums [1], one can easily prove [2] existence of a unitary transformation of initial $\hat{X}^i$ to the ordinary form: $\hat{X}^i = X^i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{1}}$. At the same time, conjugate operators of momentum are translations in virtue of the Euclidean symmetry. Therefore, the standard Heisenberg commutation relations are restored exactly, that is with no asymptotical corrections. Thus, the first principles of quantum theory single out discretely just the spatially flat

models among all possible FRW ones. This result coincides remarkably with the flatness of the real Universe following from the modern astrophysical data and commonly accepted interpretation of them, see, e.g., [8], Section 3.3.1.

Return, however, to the cases $k \neq 0$, the more so since that these models are not excluded from the category of realistic ones [9]. Then, in the asymptotic approximation under consideration, the space turns out to be quantized up to the moment of time t_0 , determined by condition (11). This going on, the concept of the particle as an individually localizable object loses meaning owing to essential non-commutativity of its coordinates. This implies the lack of the standard Born probability interpretation of $\psi(X)$, as it was mentioned above. Apparently, this circumstance indicates an essential returning of the quantum field $\varphi(x)$ as the scale factor $b(t_0)$ becomes smaller in the direction of the past and approaches to the value of λ_C . At that times, one may expect qualitative changes in contribution of the field into the equation of state of the matter. This expectation is supported by the paper [11] in which a similar change of the standard representation of quantum electrodynamics in the superstrong electromagnetic field is discussed, which determines an effective elementary length.

The space to be essentially quantized in the sense of the formula (7), simultaneous fulfillment of conditions (11), (13) ? (14) is necessary. Do particles exist for which it takes place for a reasonable dynamics of $b(t)$? One may say at once that such particle can not be among the particles of GUT since the latter "come into the Universe" as ultrarelativistic ones and stay ultrarelativistic much later of the moment t_0 determined by condition (11). More interesting may be the hypothetical Weak Interacting Massive Particles (WIMP) of the cold dark matter created directly by the gravitational field and not connected with the temperature of the GUT matter. Arguments [10] exist that the Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECR) observed last decade are generated by such particles with a mass $m \gtrsim 10^{13} \text{Gev}/c^2$.

It is worth also to mention the hypothesis [13] on quintessence, a neutral scalar field generating an acceleration of the expansion of Universe in the (cosmologically) present epoch $t_0 \sim 10^{10}$ years, see references on recent papers in [9]. Now, the field satisfies condition (11) and the space is quantized for the quintessence with the effective elementary length of the order of the scale factor. In a future, the accelerated expansion of the Universe caused by the negative pressure of quintessence will relieve condition (11) and formation of localized excitations (real particles) of the quintessence $\varphi_q(x)$ will become possible.

It should be emphasized, however, that the present discussion of deformation of canonical commutation relations (4, 5) is a concrete instance of those new features of quantum mechanics, which can generally occur in different cases of interaction of quantum systems with the intensive external field. A case of the external electromagnetic in this aspect was considered in the paper [11] mentioned above. Another instance is provided by commutators of the quantum-mechanical operators of spin of the Dirac particle in the external gravitational field, which are found in [12]. They form a deformation of the algebra $\mathfrak{o}(3)$.

The author appreciates Prof. V.G. Kadyshevsky's attention to a preliminary version of the paper.

References

- [1] E. A. Tagirov, *Class.Quan.Gravity* **16**, 2165 (1999).
- [2] E. A. Tagirov, *Gravitation & Cosmology* **9**, 1 (1999).
- [3] E. A. Tagirov, *Int.Journ.Theor.Phys.* **42**, 465 (2003); preprint *gr-qc/0212076*, (2002).
- [4] J. M. Jauch (1968), *Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, Addison–Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts.
- [5] A. A. Grib, S. G. Mamaev, and V. V. Mostepanenko, *Quantum Effects in Strong External Fields* (in Russian), Atomizdat, Moscow, 1980.
- [6] H. Snyder, *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 38 (1947).
- [7] C. Rovelli, *Int.J.Theor.Phys.*, **35**, 1637 (1996).
- [8] H. V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus, K. Zuber, *Teilchenastrophysik*, B.G. Teubner GmbH, Stuttgart, 1997.
- [9] R. Aurich, F. Steiner, preprint *astro-ph/0212471* (2002).
- [10] V. A Kuz'min *JETP lett*, **68**, 271, (1998); Preprint hep-ph/9903542 1999.
- [11] V. G. Kadyshevsky, I. Rodionov, in *Symmetries and Integrable Systems: Proceedings of the Seminar*, A. N. Sissakian ed., JINR, Dubna, 1999, p. 103 (in Russian).
- [12] E. A. Tagirov, *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **106**, 122, (1996).
- [13] R. R. Caldwell, R. Dave and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 1582 (1998); <http://physicsweb.org/toc/world/quintessence.htm> (2000).